

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,955	02/10/2006	Kou Matsubayashi	59011220001	5350
75	90 10/19/2006		EXAM	NER
Matthew K Ry	an		TOLAN, EDWA	RD THOMAS
Frommer Lawre				
745 Fifth Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10151			3725	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/567,955	MATSUBAYASHI, KOU			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of the control of	Edward Tolan	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
_					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
*	x parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r ,				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2006 is/are		d to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 110/a	\-(d) or (f)			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	phonty under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)	j-(u) 01 (1).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	` ''				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application			
<u> </u>		<u>. ()</u>			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is misspelled as "ABSTRUCT" and it is not a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 10 and claim 2, line 3 the terms "generally using only for" are unclear. Applicant sets forth a screw material diameter smaller than a screw diameter for the first external thread, but the method is a method of cutting threads into the smaller screw diameter, a theoretical diameter of prior art screws is not set forth in the claims. The Examiner does not see how a skilled artisan can determine a "generally used diameter" from the claim as is disclosed in the specification, page 5, paragraph 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 11-254072 (cited by Applicant). JP 11-254072 discloses rolling dies (5) for forming external right (7a) and left (7b) screw threads on a bolt (7). The threads are formed simultaneously on the bolt with thread (7a) being a coarse thread and thread (7b) being a fine thread.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bauer (3,854,350). Bauer discloses rolling dies (11) for simultaneously forming external left and right screw threads (column 5, lines 37-40 and 55-60) on a shank (12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 11-254072 in view of Suzuki (5,569,009). JP 11-254072 does not disclose that a diameter of a screw material is smaller than a diameter of an external thread. Suzuki teaches a main thread (2) having a diameter (d2) and pitch (p2) and a sub thread (5) having a smaller diameter (d5) and pitch (p5). Suzuki teaches (column 2, lines 64-67 and column 3, lines 1-8) that a shank diameter (d1) is smaller than a main thread (2) diameter (d2).

Application/Control Number: 10/567,955

Art Unit: 3725

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide a shank diameter of a screw material that is smaller than a main thread diameter.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525. FAX communications should be sent to 571-273-8300.

EDTOLAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER